

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/25/2002

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/757,725	01/10/2001	Thomas R. Guimont	4014 P 003	8374	
75	90 04/25/2002				
Bradley F. Rademaker			EXAMINER		
WALLENSTEIN & WAGNER, LTD. 53rd Floor			WATSON, ROBERT C		
311 South Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3723		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary The MAILING DATE of this communication app		Application No.	_	• •				
		09/757,725		GUIMONT ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Robert C. Watso		3723				
The MAILING DATE of Period for Reply	this communication app	ears on the cover	sneet with the c	orrespondence aduress				
A SHORTENED STATUTOR THE MAILING DATE OF TH - Extensions of time may be available u after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailin - If the period for reply specified above - If NO period for reply is specified abov - Failure to reply within the set or exten - Any reply received by the Office later t earned patent term adjustment. See 3 Status	IS COMMUNICATION. Inder the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: g date of this communication. s less than thirty (30) days, a reply e, the maximum statutory period v ded period for reply will, by statute, han three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, howe y within the statutory min will apply and will expire : . cause the application to	over, may a reply be time imum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to comm	unication(s) filed on <u>08 A</u>	<u> April 2002</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-fi	s non-final.					
3) Since this application	is in condition for allows with the practice under	ance except for for	rmal matters, pr	osecution as to the merits is				
Disposition of Claims	with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle,	1933 O.D. 11, 4	33 0.3. 213.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-53</u> is/are p	ending in the application	1.						
4a) Of the above claim	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>51-53</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-50</u> is/are re	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-50</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are	objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are su	bject to restriction and/o	r election require	ment.					
Application Papers								
9) The specification is object to the specific of the description of t	•		adda budba Eva	ninor				
10) The drawing(s) filed on								
11) The proposed drawing	est that any objection to the							
	Irawings are required in rep			Tou by the Examinor.				
12)☐ The oath or declaration		· -						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119	•							
13) Acknowledgment is ma		n priority under 35	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. ☐ Certified copies								
2. Certified copies	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	rtified copies of the prior rom the International Bu ed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 1	17.2(a)).					
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made	4) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made	• • • •	• •						
Attachment(s)								
Notice of References Cited (PTO-2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent D. Information Disclosure Statement	awing Review (PTO-948)	4)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/757,725

Art Unit: 3723

Claims 1-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The description states at various places that the pins are "independently deployable." Then on page 13 it is stated that "one or more rows of pins can be selectively deployed." This appears to be a contradiction. If a row of pins are deployed each pin in that row is not independently deployable. It is unclear which contradicting portion of the description the claims refer back to.

Claims 1-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is unclear what is meant by "each pin independently deployable". This is not understood since each pin in a single row is not independently deployable relative to the other pins in the row.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 7-9, 22-23, 27-31, 42-44, and 47-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Blazek.

Art Unit: 3723

Blazek 3,5 are blocks, 10 are apertures, 17 are channels, 16 are inlet holes, and 12 are a plurality of pins. Statements of intended use have not been accorded any patentable weight; ie., how a workpiece is engaged by the pins or how fluid is administered to the inlet is a matter of intended use that has no patentable significance. In any case, the Blazek device is seen to be capable of performing the non-substantive intended use recited in the claims; ie, fluid can be applied independently to each row of pins.

Claims 51-53 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 4.

Analogous devices are taught by Perrine and Fitzpatrick.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert C. Watson whose telephone number is 703 308-1747. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Thurs., 5:30am - 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J. Hail III can be reached on 703 308-2687. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 305-3579 for regular communications and 703 305-9835 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308-1148.

Application/Control Number: 09/757,725

Art Unit: 3723

rcw

April 22, 2002

Robert C. Watson Primary Examiner